DE JURE NEXUS LAW JOURNAL

Author:

Navjyot Birdi

Symbiosis Law School, Noida

2nd Year, BBA LL.B.

INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTS OVERVIEW

INTRODUCTION

A legally enforceable agreement between parties based in different countries in which they are required to do or not do specific actions is referred to as an international contract. International contracts might be formal or informal. Most firms write contracts to make the terms of their agreements explicit, and they frequently seek legal advice when drafting significant contracts. International contracts are the most common legal tool used by businesses to limit their risks when doing business on a global or international scale. When a firm wants to expand its products or services into the worldwide market, it will almost certainly need contracts from a variety of partners, including freight forwarders and sales tax agents.[1]

DEALING WITH INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTS

When working on an international contract, you may anticipate to come across a lot of the same terminology that is used all over the world. When dealing with an overseas firm, however, there may be variations in the way things are addressed legally and in the commercial climate. It's

[1] AVAILABLE AT- https://www.globalnegotiator.com/international-trade/dictionary/international-contracts/#:~:text=International%20contracts.%20International%20contracts%20refers%20to%20a%20legally,contracts%20may%20be%20written%20in%20a%20formal%20way.

crucial to avoid becoming too comfortable with the familiar and ignoring the changes in order to avoid unpleasant shocks. Even if the parties involved are not from an English-speaking country, international contracts are commonly drafted in English.[2]

The disadvantage of contracts drafted in English by non-native speakers is that difficulties may develop after the contract has been signed, and one or more parties may dispute the deal because they did not comprehend what they were signing. To prevent disagreements over contracts signed in English, the parties might agree to forgo their rights to argue that they did not comprehend the contract and that it is thus invalid. The alternative option is to use English as the ruling language in dual-language contracts. You can always do a mix of the two alternatives. Include a clause in the contract that specifies the official language that will be used in all transactions between the parties. Any contract should be checked and approved using certified translations.

INTERNATIONAL LAWS NEGOTIATIONS

When negotiating international contracts, you must pay just as much attention to the international contract as you would to a domestic contract. When working on a foreign contract, you may face additional difficulties and hazards that aren't present when working on a local contract. It is standard practice for parties to an international transaction to agree which of their respective courts will have jurisdiction over any disputes (s). In many situations, the parties reach an agreement and English becomes the prevailing law.

The requirements for jurisdiction and venue are crucial because of the following reasons:

1. Procedures in the law

2. Costs of litigation

3. Judgments are enforced.

It is recommended that they establish a contingency plan in case their desired governing legislation or jurisdiction is not approved. Parties from the United States frequently default to New York as the controlling venue. English law may be acceptable to African, Middle Eastern,

[2] AVAILABLE AT- https://www.upcounsel.com/international-contracts

and European parties, whereas laws in Australia and Singapore are more acceptable to Asian parties.

The legislation that governs an international commercial transaction contract might be quite important. This is due to differences in:

1. Language
2. Culture
3. Legal Heritage

As a result, it is recommended that both parties select a governing legislation that is agreeable to them. Both parties will be able to better predict how contract clauses will be construed if they do so.

Domestic contracts in the United States are governed by the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) in most states. The United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods is the default governing law for international contracts.

DIFFERENT KINDS OF INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTS

Examples of international contracts include:

- International distribution agreements
- Intellectual property licenses
- Investment agreements
- International sales contracts
- Supply agreements
- Letters of credit
- Franchise agreements
- Joint venture agreements
- Development agreements

ELEMENTS OF INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTS

Multiple parties are frequently involved in international transactions. To minimise potential hazards, each party must be recognised and their duties stated.

- Outline your expectations and sales targets in detail. This comprises performance expectations and requirements for good performance in terms of volume or specific time frames.
- The rights and remedies of all parties engaged in the agreement should be clearly stated in the dispute resolution section of an international contract.
- An arbitration clause, which outlines how a disagreement will be settled, should be included.
- Include the actions that will be followed to cancel the contract without causing a substantial violation.
- Include a force majeure clause to allow a party to be excused from an international business transaction contract's duty due to events beyond its control, such as a natural catastrophe.
- Include terms for shipment and payment.
- Include a section that discusses the need to follow all applicable laws.

LAW APPLICABILITY INTERMS OF INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTS

Due to the globalisation of economic exchanges and the proliferation of population displacements, private relationships are becoming increasingly foreign. International contracts are widespread in all economic operations as a result of this.

There are many different types of international contracts, and hence many different rules that apply to them. Contract law, on the other hand, is frequently founded on ideas that are shared by the majority of governments. As a result, the basis of the contract's binding power is a universal fundamental from which no foreign law can deviate. As a result, it's simple to see why the public policy exception is rarely used in international contract law.[3]

1. DETERMINATION OF APPLICABLE LAW IN INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTS

When you have an international scenario, the question of what law applies to such circumstance is unavoidable. The technique of conflict of laws rules (which is referred to as the traditional approach), the method of material rules (which is referred to as the contemporary method), and, to a lesser extent, the recognition method are the three methods of finding the relevant law in private international law. The conflict of laws rules technique, often known as the "conflict method," is an indirect method that leads to the rule of an international issue through rules created for domestic circumstances. Instead of controlling the issue with a rule designed for internal reports, the material rules technique leads to the formulation of a rule designed particularly for international circumstances. As a result, parties to an international contract can choose to apply rules

[3] AVAILABLE AT- https://www.spacelegalissues.com/what-laws-apply-in-international-contracts/

drawn from an international convention specifically providing for international relations to their contract rather than regulations obtained from a specific country. The Vienna Convention of April 11, 1980, for example, establishes particular regulations for the sale of commodities that are exclusively applicable to international contracts. The recognition approach, based on cooperation, continues to compete with the conflict method by placing greater emphasis on foreign laws, in contrast to the latter. It is still seldom utilised in reality; therefore, we won't go into detail about it. The law relevant to the contract was decided in mediaeval times and for several centuries using the principle "locus redit actum," which implies that the act is controlled by the law of the location where it is written out. When international trade came down to the availability of big fairs in specific European towns, this maxim was crucial.

The unsuitability of the norm has been exposed by the rise of international trade, and the concept of the autonomy of the will has gradually triumphed in contractual concerns. In other words, the parties have the option of choosing the law that will apply to their contract. The fundamental rule in this case is the December 5, 1910 judgement in American Trading Co. v. HE Heacock Co., which clearly says that "the law relevant to the contract is that which the parties have accepted." This formula sparked a controversy between supporters of subjectivist theory and supporters of objectivist philosophy. According to the subjectivism thesis, the will is all-powerful, and the relevant law can only be determined by the parties' will. The will is not all-powerful in objectivism theory; it is merely a localised aspect of the contract. This hypothesis necessitates the employment of the beam of evidence method: we will attempt to identify the contract based on its distinguishing features, such as its location of conclusion, performance, or even the parties' place of establishment. In other words, the parties' choice of law just helps to situate the contract. This hypothesis necessitates the employment of the beam of evidence method: we will attempt to identify the contract based on its distinguishing features, such as its location of conclusion, performance, or even the parties' place of establishment. In other words, the parties' choice of law just helps to situate the contract. If taken to its logical conclusion, objectivism might lead to the implementation of a law that was not originally chosen by the parties.

In the Société des Fourrures Renel judgement of July 6, 1959, the Court of Cassation in France maintained a dualist system, adopting from both ideas. When the parties have chosen the law that will apply to their contract, the Court will preserve the subjectivist system. In the absence of a decision by the parties of the relevant law to their contract, it will remain the objectivist system. After then, it will be essential to locate the contract without looking for any implied will. The Rome Convention eventually adopted this approach, which stems from the Société des Fourrures Renel decision.

The rules of conflict of laws are still based on a three-tiered structure. First, and this is the method that has been in place for decades, case law solutions were used to determine the relevant law to the contract. The Member States then enacted the Rome Convention on the Law Applicable to Contractual Obligations, under the influence of European authorities who believed it was important to unify the principles of conflict of laws in contractual affairs. This convention gave rise to the Rome I Regulation as a result of the new competence acknowledged by European authorities. Because of the varied dates of entrance into force of these articles, these three systems (jurisprudential, Rome

Convention, and Rome I Regulation) now coexist. The Rome Convention became effective on April 1, 1991, and hence only applies to contracts entered into after that date, whilst the French case law system only applies to transactions entered into before that date. The Rome I Regulation became effective on December 17, 2009, and so only applies to contracts made into after that date. It is therefore necessary to know the contract's closing date in order to determine which system applies, despite the fact that there is no break in the principles followed, but rather a type of continuity. Despite its widespread use, the conflict of laws rules technique has occasionally demonstrated its limitations in international contract law. The material rules technique has filled up the voids that previously existed.

CONCLUSION

Last but not least, when signing international contracts, the parties can opt in advance, by using a clear and explicit language, to appeal to the arbitration procedure for their resolution in the case of a disagreement.

In most situations, arbitration is the best option, given the benefits that using this method brings to the parties in terms of speed and secrecy, as well as the option of choosing an arbitrator or arbitration board from a third nation that is impartial with respect to the contractual parties.